Knowledge Cultures in New Media Art

Rama Hoetzlein

Digital Media Design
Florida Gulf Coast University
Fort Myers, Florida
rhoetzlein@fgcu.edu

Abstract

New Media Art reflects the dramatic creative and cultural shifts in science and technology of the past century. With these shifts the multitude of forms of art-making have expanded to include a wide range of ideas and techniques. Following several decades of new contributions this plurality of expression has resisted monolithic or curatorial approaches to organization along the lines of media.

This paper defines knowledge cultures as flexible, overlapping, non-exclusive, ideological sub-groups and seeks to identify such groups within the practice and theory of New Media Art. While practicing groups may be associated with specific media such as games, 3D printing, or artificial intelligence, we seek to identify knowledge groups by their explicit, hidden or shared ideological principles.

Keywords

art and technology, knowledge cultures, post-medium, post-modernism, pluralism, curation

Introduction

The field of New Media Arts (NMA) does not have any single point of origin but has evolved from a variety of practices engaging with new technologies. Approaches to organizing NMA, such as Christiane Paul's New Media in Art, have grouped works and artists according to media practices. [1] Tribe and Jana define NMA according to a variety of themes such as computer art, collaboration, open sourcing, surveillance and hacktivism. [2] These themes are loose incomparable sets. For example, computer art is technique, collaboration is a social activity, and open sourcing is a decentralized licensing strategy. While these words convey various practices an understanding of New Media Arts as a collection of themes tends of collapse ideologies of meaning.

In the context of academic disciplines, New Media Art may be defined as a form of "knowledge production." Beyond aesthetic considerations, Borgdorff draws from Kant and Adorno to compare art to other disciplines generally. [3]

"Art's epistemic character resides in its ability to offer the very reflection on who we are, on where we stand, that is obscured from sight by the discursive and conceptual procedures of scientific rationality."

Such comparisons are academic in the sense that they establish the uniqueness of art with respect to science or engineering but do not delve into ideology or meaning of specific movements, or of New Media Art in particular. A superficial view of NMA as a "production of knowledge" suggests an accumulation of ideas for its own sake.

We seek to explore the knowledge cultures present within NMA and how these inter-relate, evolve and define the discipline. Of particular interest are those knowledge cultures and ideologies which are embedded or assumed by current practices.

Cultures of Practice

That the practice of New Media Arts has resulted in new sub-cultures is a natural outcome of the media on which it is based. Manovich identifies this in the Language of New Media. [4]

"The computerization of culture not only leads to the emergence of new cultural forms such as computer games and virtual worlds; it redefines existing ones such as photography and cinema."

Whereas the phrase 'cultural forms' is not explicitly defined we take it to mean a format (or media) produced by culture. New media leads not only to cultural *forms* but to new sub-cultures of people around those forms. The computer game is a new cultural form yet also a people who make, play and create videos games.

Such is the state of New Media Art that novel sub-cultures abound. Artists organize around database art, data visualization, computer games, virtual reality, artificial intelligence, and many other media which have emerged in the past few decades. We may view these as sub-cultures of practice which are loosely organized, fluid and constantly changing.

With the identification of media as one foundation of New Media Arts efforts were made to form modern collections accordingly. Oliver Grau proposes a digital and "scholarly archive" to document the works of NMA and the humanities

generally, similar to such archives in other fields. [5] He acknowledges that an archival database would require a unified effort among institutions, artists and conservators. Such efforts are already undertaken by publishers [6]. However these are not collated uniformly with other publishers while also discounting works solely shown in galleries, museums or solo venues. Despite the challenges of a unified archive such a system would be of significant benefit to the field.

A digital archive of media artworks might collect the authors, visual records, or even the works themselves, yet without further analysis the ideas that motivate each work may again be collapsed or lost within a literal database. An archival database of NMA would be a research tool and this paper is not concerned with the curation of collections per se, but with the identification of ideologies embedded in New Media artworks.

For our purposes we may define a *knowledge culture* as a fluid, non-mutually exclusive sub-culture or group of people (artists or otherwise) with a particular ideology. Within this definition, a "culture of practice" is a group that identifies itself according to a given media, such as video game creation or internet art. The aspect of non-exclusivity is helpful since any particular artist or work might belong to multiple knowledge cultures simultaneously.

Social or Explicit Cultures

Explicit Cultures

Certain artists focus on an explicit value system driving their works to a greater degree than form. One such example is Ecological art defined here by Aaron Ellison and David Borden.

"Ecological art is purposeful and often prescriptive: the intended actions and directions for activists are clear." [7] Their work *Warning Warming* consists of a series of large hemlock timber triangles painted in yellow, red and black to indicate the average global temperature from 1880 to 2001, with carbon dioxide emissions on the opposite side. The artists seek to engage the viewer in ecological activism and ask questions such as: "Can it [ecological art] also provoke emotional responses that inspire immediate action or long-term activism?" [8]

An intriguing aspect of this work is that operates fluidly between sculpture, public art and data visualization. Its purpose is guided by a shared vision among ecological art toward environmental activism. Whereas cultures of practice (e.g. database art) may evolve from their media the presence of an explicit value or ideology defines a *social culture* of knowledge. This is not to say that the choices of media are irrelevant but that the intentions are explicit beyond merely "experimenting with the media". These social cultures of art grow from an immediate or perceived human need that the artists are compelled to address.

Contemporary artists frequently participate in multiple value cultures. The artist Shu Lea Cheang is a pioneer in video, cyberfeminist and internet art. Her work *Brandon* (1998-1999) focuses on the murder of a trans man, Brandon Teena, and was the first web-based commissioned artwork by the Guggenheim Museum of New York. [9] The explicit value structure in feminist art is observed by Lucy Lippard in Framing Feminism where "[feminist art] is neither a style nor a movement but instead a value system, a revolutionary strategy, a way of life." [10]

More recently Cheang's work *Composting the Net* (2012) takes the recorded legacy of online communities such as IDC and Spectre – lists of artists & works – and turns them into digital pixels, thus "poetically, composting them." [11] The culture of internet ecology questions the Internet as a digital landfill of accumulated information.

An explicit social culture is a type of knowledge culture that defines its shared value structure and membership a priori. Members are those that support the value system while they may also simultaneously participate in multiple cultures such as Cheang's cyberfeminist work (*Brandon*) and works in internet ecology (*Composting the Net*).

Non-Explicit Cultures

An explicit shared culture may not always be defined or present in socially meaningful works. In the video game *Vietnam Romance* by Eddo Stern, players experience the Vietnam War as a "mash-up" of cultural artifacts creating a colorful contrast between the players nostalgia with the surrounding military activity. [12] This work functions as a commentary on war and loss of history.

The video game *Papers, Please* by Lucas Pope takes place in a fictional Eastern Bloc country with the player as an immigration officer at a migration checkpoint, with actions "mostly confined to shuffling papers and confirming or denying someone's entry into Arstotzkan." [13] The game thus creates an uncomfortable power struggle in the player as their duty and its impacts escalate.

Vietnam Romance and *Papers, Please* are related in their role as political criticism – this is their social culture. Even so, Eddo Stern is often described within the culture of practice as a video game artist since this is a medium he works with frequently. Social cultures may be defined informally, non-explicitly, around groups of artists with similar ideological themes (e.g. war, ecology, environment, feminism), *in addition* to any identity with practice or media.

Non-explicit cultures are loosely defined by shared interests among artists that may or may not know one another. Social cultures, as a consequence of meaningful work, and whether they are explicit or non-explicit, *transcend* media and cultures of practice.

Mainstream Contemporary Art

A well-established knowledge culture can be found in mainstream contemporary art (MCA), whose values are summarized by Edward Shanken. MCA is the "primary arbiter of artistic quality and value through its control of the market."

[14] The ideology of MCA thus equates, among other ideas, with money and market capitalism. Shanken sets up a dichotomy between MCA and NMA along the lines of the technological divide introduced by Claire Bishop and goes on to criticize MCA for being technically illiterate. [15]

"mainstream discourses typically dismiss NMA based on its technological form or immateriality, without fully appreciating its theoretical richness."

The issues of capitalism and technological innovation are not easily resolved. At times Shanken appears to contradict himself, for example when speaking of MCA's ability to "commodify relatively ephemeral art forms" such as video, while later stating that MCA "remains tightly tethered to more or less collectible objects." [16] The one constant in mainstream contemporary art, however, is the continuing value structure of art as a marketable good.

Three Technological Sub-Cultures

In evaluating the relationship between MCA and NMA, Shanken reveals multiple ideological relationships with technology. By setting aside market-driven discussions we can extract these value systems as follows.

- Techno-philic – many artists and people embrace a technological future, or at least one in which technology plays a prominent, positive role, as for example in La Plissure du Text referred to by Shanken.
- Techno-critical – some cultures within New Media Art are meta-critical, embracing technology while simultaneously reflecting on it "in a manner that self-reflexively demonstrates how new media is deeply imbricated in modes of knowledge production." [15] Shanken describes this as the best of NMA.
- Techno-phobic – some cultures are against technology, either explicitly or indirectly. Shanken questions Bishop for posing the Digital Divide without having exposure to NMA: "could a contemporary art historian/critic be taken seriously if s/he stated that performance or video or installation lay beyond their expertise?" [17] As a critic of NMA a better question is how Bishop became interested in confronting the "digitization of our existence" in the first place.

Artworks within a techno-philic culture may be described as innovative but not necessarily reflective on its limits. Those which are techno-phobic are generally not new media artists themselves if their dismissal of technology is complete. Artists which adopt new media must at least embrace it in practice and thus become techno-critical at a minimum.

The confusion in Shanken arises because MCA, while always a capitalist value system, is not one people with a singular technological outlook but a multitude of sub-cultures consisting of curators, directors and institutions which may be techno-philic, techno-critical or techno-phobic.

Case Study: Artificial Intelligence and GANs

A recent techno-philic culture that has gained rapid acceptance in mainstream contemporary art is *artificial intelligence*. In 2018 the work "Edmond de Belamy, from La Famille de Belamy" was created by a generative adversarial network (GAN) developed by the French art collective Obvious and sold for $432,500 at Christie's New York. [18]

The monetary values of MCA shift instantaneously with shifting demand. Aaron Hertzman (Adobe) attempts to describe this rapid rise in popularity with the concept of *visual indeterminacy*. [19]

"Visual indeterminacy describes images which appear to depict real scenes, but, on closer examination, defy coherent spatial interpretation. GAN models seem to be predisposed to producing indeterminate images, and indeterminacy is a key feature of much modern representational art."

Hertzmann misses the fact that GAN-generated artwork is more properly defined as NMA and thus better compared with other AI-based art forms. Nonetheless his description might explain how mainstream art has rapidly adopted this style.

New Media Artists have been producing important works in AI since the 1950s. Michael Noll developed algorithmic drawings with compositions similar to Mondrian. [20] As members of the Algorists, a culture dedicated to algorithm-as-art, Noll, Verostko, Hebert, Mohr, Nake and others have been exhibiting works in galleries and museums for decades. In 1968 Harold Cohen developed AARON, a program that could produce child-like drawings of people and gardens. As a work of symbolic-AI in art, while not a learning-style AI like GANs, it is nonetheless an early example of machines creating captivating visual imagery.

Artificial intelligence, as an art form, has developed many knowledge sub-cultures. Works that are accepted by mainstream art are difficult to ascertain on a conceptual basis alone. The AI artworks of Refik Anadol have been featured in prominent venues globally. His recent project, *Quantum Memories* "utilizes the most cutting-edge, Google AI publicly available quantum computation research data and algorithms to explore the possibility of a parallel world." [21] Interestingly, given the description provided, this AI is likely not a GAN since the presentation of the work is abstract, more akin to abstract expressionism than to modern representational art. One might make the case that AIs which can mimic *any* style of early modern art are destined for acceptance by mainstream contemporary art.

Also important is that any note of techno-criticality, or self-reflection on the limits or dangers of AI technology, is absent in this work by Anadol. Thus it remains firmly within the techno-philic culture surrounding affirmative trends in artificial intelligence.

Post-Modernism

What other knowledge cultures are embedded within New Media Arts today? We have surveyed those of shared cultural practice (media), those of social ideology (explicit or non-explicit values), those of the art world (market value) and those based on future technological outlook. To appreciate NMA more deeply is to address cultural ideologies

which may be assumed, or embedded, within the culture of new media art itself.

One may begin by appreciating that New Media Art developed on the heels of post-modernism. It is not coincidental that new media art arose at the same time that media theorists such as Jean-François Lyotard were reflecting on the condition of post-modernism. [22]

"Simplifying to the extreme, I define postmodern as incredulity toward metanarratives. This incredulity is undoubtedly a product of progress in the sciences: but that progress in turn presupposes it."

Scientific progress is intertwined with the modern condition, as it is with new media art. Lyotard understands science, in part, as "searching for and 'inventing' counterexamples, in other words, the unintelligible." [23] Only within a plurality of hypotheses can one seek the more correct one.

Distance and Objectivity

Within the digital humanities, the post-modern condition is reinterpreted by Franco Moretti as a distinction between close and distant reading. [24]

"Distant reading: where distance, let me repeat it, is a *condition of knowledge* [sic]: it allows you to focus on units that are much smaller or much larger than the text: devices, themes, tropes—or genres and systems. And if, between the very small and the very large, the text itself disappears, well, it is one of those cases when one can justifiably say, less is more."

This distance is an intentional space between the reader and narrative. Distant reading examines text as fragments of knowledge, similar to or derived from the methods of science in questioning a multitude of hypothesis of manageable size. The premise is that *distance* confers *objectivity* via comparison, with fragmentation as a by-product of tried-and-failed ideas.

Under the system of science all untried ideas are equally valid for Lyotard recognizes that "science does not expand by means of the positivism of efficiency." [23] Human intuitions that might move more 'efficiently' toward readily viable ideas are suppressed as every hypothesis is valid until tried (bias being undesirable). Thus science proceeds slowly with repeatable, testable, comparable ideas.

How do the scientific conditions of post-modernism influence the knowledge cultures of New Media Art? Previous methods of narrative, artistic movement and cultural dialog become passé. The tenants of science must be reframed for adoption. Within NMA there are no hypotheses, only artworks, and therefore the scientific theory of objective testing translates poorly to art. Duchamp began the experiment of art as idea and since then each conceptual work must be evaluated on its own merits. The lack of an objectifiable (comparative) metric for works of art, combined with the literal technological outcomes of science, has resulted is an explosion of viable forms and meanings.

Fragmentation and the End of Art

The accumulation of information was anticipated by Paul Virilo and Vannevar Bush. [25]

"There is a growing mountain of research. But there is increased evidence that we are being bogged down today as specialization extends. The investigator is staggered by the findings and conclusions of thousands of other workers."

The art object fits within this accumulation ever since the appearance of the readymade. For Danto this signifies the 'end of art' since it can no longer be distinguished from everyday objects [26]. Vassiliou reflects on NMA to conclude that "Danto's theory for the 'end of art' seems to withstand the advent of digital media." Within his reasoning, NMA does not "escape" from or "distinguish" itself from common objects, nor from the "institutional norms of art." [27] One must concede that NMA, through a proliferation of media forms, appears to support this fragmentation.

The 'end of art' is the end of the artistic object as an institutional form, with NMA forging new pathways for distribution. Additionally, the pluralism of NMA is not equivalent only to a growth of information (or objects) for the lack of scientific metrics in art also undermines a unified sense of purpose. Nonetheless, scientific theorists (non-artists) continue to pleasantly make the case for a scientific understanding of art pluralism. For example, Magnus & Uidhir, offer "species concept pluralism — a well-explored position in philosophy of biology — provides a model for art concept pluralism" [28]. The problem is that art objects are not comparable the way biological species are. Unlike species which are naturally (physically) comparable, meaning in art depends on the ideology of knowledge cultures of both the creator *and* the viewer.

In science, the direction forward is guided by nature (reality). In art, every direction is viable. Thus the 'end of art' is not only the end of the art object, or artistic creation, but the end of the artist – one who guides our reflections on where humanity stands. Fragmentation leads to the loss of sense of the *artistic self*; a unified ideological direction forward in art is no longer achievable.

The knowledge culture of fragmentation is the acceptance of pluralism; an ideology that any object, any media, even any idea may be the subject of art. Hence the proliferation of art-science-engineering crossover disciplines such as biological art, database art, and AI-based art.

Pluralism

Object pluralism, presently discussed, may be distinguished from social pluralism, i.e. diversity and inclusion. Both are embraced by venues of NMA despite the increasing difficult of defining artworks along thematic categories.

A practical experiment will demonstrate the challenges of pluralism. Choose five artworks at random, preferably using a computer to ensure randomness, from the pages of the International Symposium on Electronic Art (ISEA) catalog for any year. See the footnote for an example [1]. A knowledge culture in favor of pluralism would argue that each work found deserves equal attention without bias. Pluralism in NMA accepts the premise that all art experiments are of value; immeasurable until tested.

The issue raised is the curation of New Media Art. A culture of pluralism must accept Bourriaud's criteria for the evaluation of art. [29]

"...this 'arena of exchange', must be judged on the basis of aesthetic criteria, in other words, by analyzing the coherence of this form and then the symbolic value of the 'world' it suggests to us…"

Criteria for new media art in a pluralist framework is judged according to internal self-consistency. Absent are any preferences toward a larger significance or meaning, and, since they are lacking in Lyotard's "efficiency," are intended to be unbiased selections. Thematically, and in current practice, the efficiency in selection is achieved by venue according to the historicity and evolution of currently selected knowledge cultures (e.g. AI, database art, etc.) and to a significant degree on industry and market trends.

Knowledge cultures of NMA may view pluralism positively or negatively. Those in favor of pluralism accept that all works are deserving of equal attention in accordance with the tenants of scientific non-bias and based on the self-consistent merits of the work. Arguments against pluralism are currently more rare, but must be founded on the notion that art is not science – there will never be a universal arbiter of creative truth (as nature is to science) as the vast range of ideas is too overwhelming to receive our equal attention. Therefore we must ask: *what do we value?*

There can be no singular answer in a global culture – hence the embedded condition of pluralism. A recent plea which calls for a culture of non-pluralism can be found in Alexandra Bal's "Sentience as The Antidote to Our Frenzied Mediated Selves." [30]

"Contemporary western tools of perception have adapted to a human consciousness that exists in hybrid techno-natural spaces… We exist in a frenzy of online social performances and simulated realities, constantly moving from one network node to another."

Bal recounts the history of Western science as the arbiter of our sentient selves and our subsequent "disembodiment" with the world. Her conclusion is that, with respect to our social products and activities, the final metric of humanity – to which pluralism is a detriment – is our ecological and environmental relationship to the planet.

Pluralism, defined here as the selection of artworks based solely on self-consistency (e.g. quality, coherence), is an outcome of the global embedded knowledge culture of the scientific and industrial revolution. The result is a vast range of works whose value structures overlap with other disciplines.

Post-Medium and Remix Culture

Some extremes of pluralism are described by Rosalind Krauss as "post-medium." [31]

"As medium specificity fell out of fashion, it seemed retrograde for artists to attempt it or for critics to praise it. Art had, it seemed, entered a 'post-medium condition' in which the inauthentic seemed more daring and up-to-date than the exploration of limits and materials."

Post-medium is established by Krauss by its opposite; holdout artists that make use of 'technical supports', specific non-traditional media, to avoid the post-modern condition of medium irrelevance. Shanken is critical of Krauss by noting that she "misses the richness" of artists who join together multiple media [14].

Interestingly, similar observations on the loss of medium specificity are made by Manovich in his description of deep remixability. [32]

"But software is like various species within the common ecology—in this case, a shared computer environment. Once 'released,' they start interacting, mutating, and making hybrids. The invisible revolution that took place in the second part of the 1990s can therefore be understood as *the period of systematic hybridization between different software originally designed to be used by professionals working in different media.* [sic]"

Manovich describes those engaged in remix as forming a "remix culture", in our parlance a knowledge culture based on the resampling of content and the intermixing of media. Remix culture is one cause of the post-medium condition.

Whereas post-modernism introduces the notion that *any* object (readymade) may be taken as medium, Vassiliou observed that the response of New Media Art was to adopt the *media of technology* as the new normal form – code, database, VR/AR, internet, etc. The post-medium condition takes this exchange further by eliminating the boundaries of media altogether – remix culture is the lack of medium specificity.

Post-medium fits naturally within the pluralist paradigm, for the "interaction, mutating, and making hybrids" is easily adopted by scientific hypothesis-generative thinking.

---

1 The random art selection experiment was conducted with the following Processing code (see processing.org):

```
for (int n=0; n<5; n++) println ( (int) random(0,300) );
```

For example, results from a single run gave five randomized page numbers in the ISEA 2016 Catalog:

#1, p.212, Julien Ottavi & Jenny Pickett, *Electromagnetic Spectrum Research* – explores "inaudible sounds" recorded by VLF (very low frequency), especially the hum of manmade devices such as electrical pylons, to discover musical complexity.

#2, p.136, Sandra Heinz, *Haitus* – pull cords trigger a dark patch within a grid of 6x3 light panels, with gaps and random behavior that give a "dust", glitch-like aesthetic.

#3, p.160 Jinku Kim, *"..What Is Seen was Not Made Out of What was Visible."* – sound, which creates a physical vibration of air molecules, is visualized as geometric patterns on an oscilloscope, giving a "hyper-tactile" experience.

#4, p.26, Nurit Bar-Shai, *Objectivity: Soundscape* – applies lab techniques to visualize the "chemical tweets" of microorganisms as beautiful patterns.

#5, p.291, Rewa Wright, *An Algorithmic Life* – procedurally generated terrain rendered from a non-manifold geometry.

Pluralism, at its extreme, is no longer even a branching taxonomy of the evolution of distinct media but the boundless, multi-dimensional crossbreeding of media.

Modern Meta-Narratives

The ideological frameworks of the present are embedded deeply in the knowledge cultures of scientific thinking, distant reading, objective analysis, pluralism, and remix culture. The conditions of knowledge are not mere conveniences or temporary infatuations; they reflect the values of our times.

Each knowledge culture has adherents and detractors. For those technophiles who see no contradictions within the present global system, the contemporary knowledge cultures of NMA are a playground for novel experimentation. Reflected aptly in the documentary film Surviving Progress by Roy & Crooks, the science-driven technophile conceives the only relevant future for humanity is as a spacefaring civilization. [33]

However, many others are not convinced, citing our rapid global impact on the planet. Alexandra Bal summarizes these concerns. [30]

"Our challenge is not so much to seek ever more sophisticated technological solutions to existential and environmental problems, as it is to re-establish a moral, emotional, and perhaps spiritual, relationship with the biosphere: living with empathy and consciousness, with respect for the land, the plants, the animals, and people."

If art merely offers a "reflection on where we stand", as Borgdorff suggests, then it has little to say on how we proceed to resolve conflicts between knowledge cultures. That would be the purview of politics and economics. NMA is arguably in a worse position to address such issues since it largely embraces the post-medium, scientific pluralism of the present.

We feel, however, that art can offer much more. Art, unlike science, is *not* bound to the terms of fragmentation and hypothesis testing – it has the capacity to coherently synthesize and integrate knowledge.

Within Lyotard's post-modernism there are self-contradictions. He states: "the grant narrative has lost its credibility," yet the argument for the condition of post-modernism is itself a meta-narrative. Perhaps he means the narrative promise of early modernism has shifted to means versus ends, in which "capitalism.. has eliminated the communist alternative and valorized the individual enjoyment of goods and services" [22], yet the ends of technology, that is its impacts and outcomes, are even more relevant now in our present global narrative. Perhaps meta-narratives are no longer linear; but they are not absent.

The meta-narratives of our times *are* the knowledge cultures of scientific fragmentation, pluralism, presumed objectivity and their paradoxical relationship to globalism and ecological disaster. These are recurring grand narratives that are neither regional nor temporary. From the perspective of NMA, regardless of the plurality of expression, these knowledge cultures are embedded in our present condition.

Balance and Post-Pluralism

A balanced relationship with nature requires that humanity have a global, structured, *organized* relationship to our environment. It must be at least sufficiently organized to be self-sustaining, conforming (to natural limits), self-limiting (of consumption), and non-wasteful. The structures of institutions, hierarchies and governments may or may not be needed – this is outside the scope of our discussion.

Herein lies the problem: We have yet to discover a structured organization for humanity that achieves this balance with nature while also allowing for a cultural pluralism of ideas and expression. Scientific thinking argues that pluralism is necessary for hypothesis testing. Yet pluralities of technologies, media, hypotheses, ideas and artworks compete directly for resources and energy. The production of NMA is a relatively small consumer of energy compared to the human creation and consumption of media generally.

Every individual is a creative actor in the world of social media; consuming resources to fuel their participation in a wide variety of overlapping knowledge cultures. NMA is a participant in that pluralism. However, *art is not bound to the terms of science,* and we believe that interesting future contributions of New Media Art reside in the capacity of art to synthesize and integrate knowledge.

We will avoid speculating what the future contributions of New Media Art may be, for one can hope they are still many and varied since knowledge cultures are not mutually exclusive: synthesis does not negate pluralism. Consistent with our analysis, we might instead observe that a knowledge culture of *synthesis* need not deconstruct (fragment through excess questioning), it need not propose hypotheses, nor conduct experiments, nor invent media types. It does not require "novelty" to legitimize itself but might instead draw from what exists to define similarity and consensus. A synthesis of knowledge objects such as the "digital archive" of Grau is interesting but how might we have a better understanding of ideological synthesis.

One of the most valuable aspects of New Media Art may be that a deep appreciation for media uniquely places it to formulate ideas or systems that address pluralism and social organization. At a minimum we can see that a pluralism of creativity (content/media) is not necessarily inconsistent with a sustaining, structured, relationship to nature since the former is only indirectly related to consumption.

Aside from individual efforts the challenge of pluralism requires us to address social discord and ideological differences. What restructuring of our media, devices and lives would enable collective actions to be defined more readily by our shared values? How are shared values discovered? What are the operations that allow us to combine or unify disjoint values? The post-modern condition would suggest that all methods and devices are tried – every application, every idea, every image – is equivalent in value and the whole of this space shall be tested. However, we question the scientific basis for post-modernism in art as self-contradictory. The culture of scientific thought is one approach to art but need not apply to the whole of art for which the contributions of creative synthesis and intuition may be of

greater value. A generic, efficient (non-exhaustive) metric, which is implied by this, is the evaluation of a given work on its ability to discover or unify shared cultural values.

One possible approach for artists working within a knowledge culture of synthesis would be to define, in real terms and more precisely, where our shared values lie. What systems or media could measure this more directly? From there the next, more difficult challenge is to imagine approaches that would enable these shared values to surface ubiquitously (i.e. regardless of politics). New lines of inquiry that might arise are: How should social media function? What would the internet look like if it were nature-sustainability ranked as opposed to popularity ranked? This reorientation of new media art is not a universal metric, but it need not be. We seek new ways of thinking beyond the knowledge cultures of our past. Outside the limitations of scientific thinking, but not lacking from it, these issues of value ideology in media and culture seem to be the kinds of problems that new media art is well positioned to address. The above dialogue is just one approach where a better grasp of embedded knowledge cultures might enable new directions in new media arts.

The knowledge cultures observed here are a reflection of the trends of science, technology and art over the past century, the goal of which was to make explicit the new meta-narratives of the post-modern/medium so that the future of new media art might avoid being bound to the same narratives.

References

[1] Christiane Paul. *New Media in Art* (Thames & Hudson, 2005)
[2] Mark Tribe and Reena Jana. *New Media Art* (Rome: Taschen, 2007)
[3] Henk Borgdorff, "The Production of Knowledge in Artistic Research" in *Routledge Companion to Research in the Arts* (New York: Routledge, 2011), 45-63"
[4] Lev Manovich, *Language of New Media* (Cambridge: MIT Press, 2002), 9
[5] Oliver Grau, "Renewing knowledge structures for media art," *Proceedings of the 2010 International conference on Electronic Visualization and the Arts*, July 2010, 286-295
[6] Andreas J. Hirsch, *Creating the Future: A Brief History of Ars Electronica 1979-2019* (Linz: Ars Electronica, 2019)
[7] Aaron Ellison and David Borden. "Ecological Art: Art with a Purpose." *The Goose*, vol. 17, no. 2, article 3, 2019
[8] Idem, p.10
[9] Joanna Phillips, Deena Engel, Emma Dickson and Jonathan Farbowitz, "Restoring Brandon, Shu Lea Cheang's Early Web Artwork." in *Checklist*, May 16, 2017, accessed Oct 18, 2021, https://www.guggenheim.org/blogs/checklist/restoring-brandon-shu-lea-cheangs-early-web-ar
[10] Rozsika Parker and Griselda Pollock, *Framing Feminism: Art and the Women's Movement 1970-85* (New York: Pandora Press, 1987)
[11] Annet Dekker, "Shu Lea Cheang: Composting the Net" in *NetArtWorks: Online Archives*, May 2012, accessed Oct 18, 2021. http://aaaan.net/shu-lea-cheang-composting-the-net/
[12] Steve F. Anderson, *Technologies of History: Visual Media and the Eccentricity of the Past* (Hanover: Dartmouth College Press, 2011), 149
[13] Sam Machkovech, "Papers, Please Review: Paper trail of tears" in *Gaming & Culture*, Aug 2013, accessed Oct 18, 2021, https://arstechnica.com/gaming/2013/08/papers-please-review-paper-trail-of-tears/
[14] Edward Shanken, "Contemporary Art and New Media: Digital Divide or Hybrid Discourse?" in *Art Research Journal Brazil*, Vol. 2, No. 2, 75-98, July 2015
[15] Idem, p.76
[16] Idem, p.77-78
[17] Idem, p.79
[18] Gabe Cohn, "AI Art at Christie's Sells for $432,500", in *The New York Times*, Oct, 2018, accessed Oct 18, 2021, https://www.nytimes.com/2018/10/25/arts/design/ai-art-sold-christies.html
[19] Aaron Hertzmann, "Visual Indeterminacy in GAN Art", Leonardo, 2020, vol. 53, no. 4, 424-428
[20] Roman Verostko, "The Algorists", website of R.Verostko, accessed Oct 18th, 2021, http://www.verostko.com/algorist.html
[21] Refik Anadol, "Quantum Memories", website of R.Anadol, accessed Oct 18th, 2021, https://refikanadol.com/
[22] Jean-François Lyotard, *The Postmodern Condition: A Report on Knowledge* (UK: Manchester University Press, 1979), xxiv
[23] Idem, p.54
[24] Franco Moretti, "Conjectures on World Literature", *New Left Review 1*, London, Jan-Feb 2000, 57
[25] Vannevar Bush, "As We May Think", *The Atlantic Monthly*, July 1945, republished in Interactions, vol. 3, issue 2, March 1996, 35-46
[26] Arthur Danto, "The End of Art: A Philosophical Defense", *History and Theory*, Dec 1998, vol. 37, no. 4, issue 37, 127-143
[27] Konstantinos Vassilou, "The 'End of Art' in the Era of Digital Pluralism", *Konsthistorisk tidskrift/Journal of Art History* (Routledge), vol. 87, no. 2, 103-114
[28] Christy Mag Uidhir, P.D. Magnus, "Art Concept Pluralism", *Metaphilosophy*, Vol. 42, Issue 1-2, Jan 2011, 83-97
[29] Nicolas Bourriaud, *Relational Aesthetics* (Paris: Presses du reel, 2002)
[30] Alexandra Bal, "Sentience as The Antidote to Our Frenzied Mediated Selves", *Proceedings of the 26th International Symposium on Electronic Art*, 2020, Montreal, 21-28
[31] Rosalind Krauss, "The Guarantee of the Medium", in *Studies across Disciplines in the Humanities and Social Sciences* (Helsinki: Helsinki Collegium for Advanced Studies, 2009), 139-145
[32] Lev Manovich, "Deep Remixability," *Artifact*, Vol. 1, No. 2, 76-84
[33] Mathieu Roy, Harold Crooks, "Surviving Progress", National Film Board Canada website, accessed Oct 18th, 2021, https://www.nfb.ca/film/surviving-progress/

Author(s) Biography

Rama Carl Hoetzlein is a media artist and theorist working in knowledge systems and simulations. He was co-founder of the Game Design Initiative at Cornell University, Lead architect for voxel-based simulation research at NVIDIA, and co-director with Alan Liu of the experimental social network, RoSE, in the digital humanities. Dr. Hoetzlein is currently assistant professor of animation in Digital Media Design at Florida Gulf Coast University.